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The Background

Why We Need to Address the Future Differently
Charge to the Group

1. Develop a model for a sustainable and diverse U.S. biomedical research workforce that can inform decisions about training the optimal number of people for the appropriate types of positions that will advance science and promote health.

2. Make recommendations which will ensure future US competitiveness and innovation in biomedical research by creating pathways through undergraduate, graduate and postdoctoral training that provide excellent preparation in a timely fashion to attract and retain the best and most diverse STEM researchers from around the world and prepare biomedical PhD students and postdoctoral researchers to participate in a broad-based and evolving economy.

3. Recommend a strategy to attract the best and most diverse scientists and engineers from around the world and increase the number of domestic students from diverse backgrounds who excel in science and become part of the STEM workforce.

4. Recommend a strategy to prepare PhD Students and Postdocs to participate in a broad-based and evolving economy.
1. The number of fellowships and traineeships has remained relatively constant over time, but the number of students supported on research grants has grown substantially without any mechanism in place to review quality of training students are receiving.

2. The proportion of PhDs that move into tenured or tenure track faculty positions has declined from ~34% in 1993 to ~26% today.

3. The proportion of non-tenured faculty has stayed relatively constant during the same period, while increasing in absolute number.

4. The categories that have seen growth in employment are science related occupations that do not involve the conduct of research and occupations that do not require graduate training in science.
5. Because most current PhD graduate training focuses on preparing people for academic positions, the committee believes that graduate programs must accommodate a greater range of anticipated careers for students.

6. In many cases, graduate programs should, but do not openly communicate the career outcomes of their graduates to potential students.
NIH Biomedical Research Workforce Working Group Draft Report

Summary of Recommendations

1. The NIH should create a program to supplement training grants through competitive review to allow institutions to provide additional training and career development to equip students for various career options and test ways to shorten the PhD training period. The additional training should include the project management and business entrepreneurial skills required in the pharmaceutical and other related industries.

2. The NIH and universities should involve relevant employers in designing training paths for those students who seek employment in biotech and pharmaceutical research and non-research positions.

3. Institutions should be encouraged to develop other degree programs such as master’s degrees designed for specific science-oriented career outcomes such as industry or public policy. These should be seen as a legitimate career branch point for students who do not wish to continue in a research track. They caution that we will have to re-examine our definition of success in training programs.
4. Institutions should be aware that the NIH has seen growth in jobs that are science related occupations that do not involve the conduct of research and occupations that do not necessarily require graduate training in science.

5. The NIH should cap the number of years a graduate student can be supported by NIH funds for an average of 5 years and no more than 6 years, to encourage timely degree completion.

6. In order to assure quality, the NIH should increase the proportion of graduate students supported by training grants and fellowships as compared to those on research project grants, without increasing the overall number of graduate student positions.

7. NIH should revise the peer review criteria for training grants to include consideration of outcomes of all students in PhD programs at those institutions, not just those supported by training grants.
8. NIH Institutes and Centers differ in their requirements and policies with regard to training grants, etc. and they should offer comparable training programs and fellowship and their requirements should be harmonized.
Source: NIH Biomedical Research Workforce Working Group Draft Report, June 2012
Figure 19: Conceptual Framework of the PhD Biomedical Research Workforce
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Figure 20: Conceptual Framework of the MD and MD-PhD Workforce
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Figure 1: US Graduate Degrees Awarded, by Field
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So How Is Your Institution Planning For The New Reality
When you are deep in trouble, say nothing and try to look inconspicuous!
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